

is so vital to our Nation's economic productivity and quality of life. This was an important undertaking that presented many difficult issues. I applaud him for his patience and his willingness to meet with me and my constituents in California on one of those issues involving a fixed-guideway transit project.

As the chairman knows, my State has many requests for transportation investments, particularly in the area of bus and bus facilities. I would like to bring to the chairman's attention two projects in particular which were not funded in either the Senate or the House bills. The first was a request from the Los Angeles Metropolitan Transit Operators Coalition, which represents 8 municipal transit operators serving more than 63 million passengers annually in 36 cities of Los Angeles County. The coalition was formed to obtain economies of scale in procuring replacement and expansion buses and to provide critical alternative fuel facilities. These clean-fuel buses are vital for the Los Angeles area which has the most severe air pollution in the country. The second project involves replacement and expansion buses for the growing city of Santa Clarita.

I ask the chairman if he would support some funding for these two projects when he meets in conference with the House on the Transportation appropriations bill?

Mr. SHELBY. I understand the Senator's concerns about funding for bus and bus facilities in California and the subcommittee did face very difficult choices for funding. I will be happy to work with the Senator on these issues in the conference committee.

Mrs. BOXER. I thank the Senator and ask if he would respond to an additional question.

Mr. SHELBY. I would be happy to.

Mrs. BOXER. As the Senator knows, the advanced technology transit bus [ATTB] under development in California has the potential to be the next-generation urban transit bus. It has already demonstrated its ability to provide maintenance savings, accommodation for the disabled, and to be a platform for a variety of clean-fuel technologies. The committee agreed at my request to provide some funding for the project under the bus program. I now understand that the chairman did meet the President's request for full funding of the project at \$10 million under the Transit Planning and Research Program and ask that he support transferring the \$2 million earmarked elsewhere for the ATTB in the bus program funding to Foothill Transit.

Mr. SHELBY. Yes, the committee fully funded the President's request under the Transit Planning and Research Program. I will be happy to work with the distinguished Senator from California during conference committee consideration of this issue.

Mrs. BOXER. I thank the Senator for his continued cooperation and leadership on the Transportation appropriations bill.

Mr. GRAMM. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question occurs on passage of the bill, as amended.

The yeas and nays have been ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. NICKLES. I announce that the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. FAIRCLOTH] is necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 98, nays 1, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 208 Leg.]

YEAS—98

Abraham	Feingold	Lott
Akaka	Feinstein	Lugar
Allard	Ford	Mack
Ashcroft	Frist	McCain
Baucus	Glenn	McConnell
Bennett	Gorton	Mikulski
Biden	Graham	Moseley-Braun
Bingaman	Gramm	Moynihan
Bond	Grams	Murkowski
Boxer	Grassley	Murray
Breaux	Gregg	Nickles
Brownback	Hagel	Reed
Bryan	Harkin	Reid
Bumpers	Hatch	Robb
Burns	Helms	Roberts
Byrd	Hollings	Rockefeller
Campbell	Hutchinson	Santorum
Chafee	Hutchison	Sarbanes
Cleland	Inhofe	Sessions
Coats	Inouye	Shelby
Cochran	Jeffords	Smith (NH)
Collins	Johnson	Smith (OR)
Conrad	Kempthorne	Snowe
Coverdell	Kennedy	Specter
Craig	Kerrey	Stevens
D'Amato	Kerry	Thomas
Daschle	Kohl	Thompson
DeWine	Kyl	Thurmond
Dodd	Landrieu	Torricelli
Domenici	Lautenberg	Warner
Dorgan	Leahy	Wellstone
Durbin	Levin	Wyden
Enzi	Lieberman	

NAYS—1

RotH

NOT VOTING—1

Faircloth

The bill (H.R. 2169), as amended, was passed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under a previous order, the Senate insists on its amendment, requests a conference with the House, and the Chair is authorized to appoint conferees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. HUTCHINSON) appointed Mr. SHELBY, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. SPECTER, Mr. BOND, Mr. GORTON, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. FAIRCLOTH, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. BYRD, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. REID, Mr. KOHL, and Mrs. MURRAY conferees on the part of the Senate.

Mr. INHOFE addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Oklahoma.

INTERNATIONAL DOLPHIN CONSERVATION PROGRAM ACT

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Commerce Committee be discharged from further consideration of H.R. 408, the House companion to the tuna-dolphin legislation. I further ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to its consider-

ation and all after the enacting clause be stricken and the text of S. 39 as passed by the Senate be inserted in lieu thereof, the bill then be considered read a third time and passed, with the motion to reconsider laid on the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 408), as amended, was passed.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that between now and 12 o'clock we have a period of morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER OF SPONSORSHIP—S. 1084

Mr. INHOFE. Mr. President, I also ask unanimous consent that the bill I introduced yesterday, S. 1084, that was introduced as the Inhofe-Breaux bill, be changed so that the bill be considered the Breaux-Inhofe bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

OZONE AND PARTICULATE MATTER RESEARCH ACT OF 1997

Mr. INHOFE. Let me make a couple comments. Since we are down to a few minutes, there will not be the time for detail which I will go into later.

Yesterday, Senator BREAU and I introduced S. 1084 entitled the "Ozone and Particulate Matter Research Act of 1997." This bill offers a simple solution to a very serious problem. I think there is a large segment of the population out there that will consider this bill to be singly the most significant of this legislative session.

In essence, this legislation provides the authority and resources to conduct the necessary scientific research and monitoring for the national ambient air quality standards for ozone and particulate matter. It reinstates the pre-existing standards for both pollutants and requires the agency to wait until the research is complete before they revise the standards.

The bill creates an independent panel which will be convened by the National Academy of Sciences to prioritize the needed particulate matter research. This would take the politics out of setting research priorities. Next, a panel will be created to oversee the Federal research program in order to ensure that the priorities set out will be followed.

Mr. President, just to bring us up to date here in this short period of time, last November the Administrator of the EPA came out with a message on behalf of the administration stating that we should change our ambient air standards so far as ozone and particulate matter are concerned. In particulate matter, it would mean that we